

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,276	12/31/2001	Ronald L. Edens	17,696	6825
23556 7590 12/16/2003 KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.			EXAMINER	
			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	1 .
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	· (@

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/037,276	EDENS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
J	Michele Kidwell	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 October 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 22-35 is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 22-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous continu	thdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Notice of	y Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 2, 5 – 10, 13 – 17, 27 – 28 and 31 – 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McFall et al. (US 6,432,096).

With respect to claim 1, Mc Fall et al. (hereinafter McFall) discloses an absorbent article comprising a fluid permeable cover (42), a liquid impermeable baffle (38) and an absorbent situated between the cover and the baffle (44), the absorbent article having a principal longitudinal axis and a principal transverse axis and being configured to provide a labial pad for disposition within the vestibule of a female wearer (col. 4, lines 22 – 56), the absorbent having a maximum longitudinal length of no greater than about 100 mm (col. 7, lines 10 – 13), a minimum longitudinal length that lies generally along the principal longitudinal axis and is less than the maximum longitudinal length (figure 1), a maximum width of no greater than about 70mm (col. 7, lines 15 – 17), a widest portion, a width at the widest portion, a narrowest portion, a maximum thickness of

Art Unit: 3761

no greater than about 10 mm (col. 7, lines 26 - 32), first and second end regions and a central region disposed between the first and second end regions, first and second spaced apart longitudinal sides, and first and second spaced apart transverse ends, the longitudinal sides together with the transverse ends generally forming the periphery of the absorbent, wherein the widest portion of the absorbent is not situated in the central region, and the article is folded parallel to the longitudinal axis prior to disposition within the vestibule of the wearer as set forth in col. 6, lines 29 - 40, col. 13, lines 57 - 59 and figures 1, 4 - 5 and 9.

McFall discloses in col. 2, lines 29 – 33 that the main body portion of the article may be any shape including a triangular shape which would provide the article with a widest portion of the article in a region not situated in the central region. This configuration also provides the width at a narrowest portion (considered the tip of the triangle) which is smaller that the width at the widest portion (considered the base of the triangle) and also provides the end regions, the longitudinal sides and transverse ends that form the periphery.

With reference to claim 2, the examiner contends that the base of the triangular shaped absorbent article may be considered the widest portion and can also be considered (based on the general knowledge of a triangular shape) to be situated in the first end region.

Regarding claims 5, 13, 16, 31 and 33 – 34, see col. 9, lines 24 – 54 and figures 1 and 4.

Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claims 6 and 7, McFall discloses an absorbent article wherein the cover and the baffle have peripheries which extend outward beyond the periphery of the absorbent and are at least partially joined to form an edge as set forth in col. 11, lines 54 – 65.

As to claims 8, 17 and 35, McFall discloses the absorbent further comprising a superabsorbent polymer as set forth in col. 9, line 63 to col. 10, line 14.

Regarding claims 9 – 10 and 27 – 28, see the rejection of claim 1.

As to claim 14, McFall discloses the absorbent comprising a fluid permeable cover as set forth in col. 8, lines 6-25.

With reference to claim 15, McFall discloses the cover enclosing the absorbent as set forth in figure 2.

As to claim 32, McFall discloses an absorbent article further comprising a liquid impermeable baffle as set forth in col. 10, lines 46 – 65.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 – 4, 11 – 12, 18, 22 – 26 and 29 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McFall et al. (US 6,432,096).

Art Unit: 3761

The difference between McFall and claim 3 is the provision that the narrowest portion of the absorbent article is situated in the central region of the absorbent and a second widest portion of the absorbent has a width and is situated in the second end region of the absorbent, and said width at the narrowest portion is smaller than said width of the second widest portion of the absorbent.

McFall discloses that the main body portion of the article can be any suitable configuration as set forth in col. 5, lines 29 – 30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the configuration of the article provided by McFall to include a dog boned or hourglass shaped configuration because it is well known in the art that either of these configurations are routinely used to provide articles that better conform to the user's anatomy. Either the dog bone or hourglass configuration will provide the structure as claimed by the applicant.

With reference to claims 4, 12 and 30, the examiner contends that either the dog bone or hourglass configuration will provide the structure as claimed by the applicant.

Regarding claims 11 and 29, see the rejection of claim 3.

With reference to claim 18, see the rejection of claim 3.

With respect to claim 22, McFall discloses an absorbent that has an upper surface and a fluid permeable cover residing on the upper surface of the absorbent as set forth in col. 9, lines 24 – 25.

With respect to claims 23 – 25, see figure 2.

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 26, McFall discloses the absorbent further comprising a superabsorbent polymer as set forth in col. 9, line 63 to col. 10, line 14.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 27, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that McFall does not teach a labial pad comprising an absorbent with the claimed maximum and minimum lengths, the examiner disagrees. McFall discloses an interlabial device with a length between 75mm and 105mm in col. 7, lines 10 – 13. The length is considered the maximum length because McFall has disclosed this as the length of the device. If the device meets the claimed length, then the absorbent would also meet the claimed length since the absorbent is encompassed by the device. A minimum length may be considered the are covering 58 in figure 1, which lies generally along the longitudinal axis and is less than the maximum longitudinal length.

With respect to the applicant's argument that McFall does not disclose or suggest a dog bone or hourglass shaped configuration, the examiner notes that McFall has not been relied upon for such a teaching. McFall discloses any suitable configuration, which may include dog bone and hourglass shaped. Likewise, the examiner provided additional references to show the knowledge of the use of such configurations with labial pads.

Art Unit: 3761

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Glasgow et al. (US 6,395,956) and Lassen (US 4,804,380) are

cited to show the recognition in the art of providing a dog boned shape and/or hourglass

shaped configuration with an interlabial pad.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is

703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,

7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for

regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703-308-0858.

Michele Kidwell
Michele Kidwell

December 13, 2003

WEILUN LO /ISORY PATENT EXAMINEF

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700